

PLAZA SCHOOL DISTRICT
7322 County Road 24
Orland, CA 95963
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
Public Hearing for 2024-2025
Local Control and Accountability Plan and Proposed Budget
June 18th, 2024
3:00 PM
Agenda

- 1. Call to Order-**
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance-**
- 3. Members Present-**
- 4. Pursuant to Education Code 42103: Public Hearing for Proposed Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and the Annual Budget. This hearing is being held to provide the public the opportunity to comment on expenditures being proposed in the 2024-25 LCAP and Budget.**
 - a. 2024-25 Budget Overview for Parents
 - b. 2024-25 LCAP
 - c. Action Tables for the 2024-25 LCAP
 - d. Instructions for the LCAP Template
 - e. 2024-25 Budget
- 5. Adjournment-**

The District Board Packet is available for public viewing @ The Plaza Elementary School District's office located at 7322 Road 24, Orland California on the date and at the time the agenda is posted. (SB 343 -Chapter 298/2007 effective July 1, 2008).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Plaza Elementary School District

CDS Code: 1162638600000000

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information:

Patrick Conklin

Superintendent/Principal


pconklin@plazaschool.org

530-865-1250

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year

Projected Revenue by Fund Source




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Plaza Elementary School District expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Plaza Elementary School District is \$2,980,056, of which \$2403824 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$401421 is other state funds, \$85000 is local funds, and \$89811 is federal funds. Of the \$2403824 in LCFF Funds, \$208591 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Plaza Elementary School District plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Plaza Elementary School District plans to spend \$3153087 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$915547 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$2,237,540 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


General Fund Budget Expenditures for the school year not included in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) include teaching staff, administrative staff, and other indirect costs.

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Plaza Elementary School District is projecting it will receive \$208591 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Plaza Elementary School District must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Plaza Elementary School District plans to spend \$251341 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what Plaza Elementary School District budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Plaza Elementary School District estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Plaza Elementary School District's LCAP budgeted \$270202 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Plaza Elementary School District actually spent \$274143.27 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Plaza Elementary School District	Patrick Conklin Superintendent/Principal	pconklin@plazaschool.org 530-865-1250

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
1	All students in grades K-8 will increase academic achievement in ELA, English language proficiency, and Mathematics as demonstrated through local and state assessments and course grades.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementation of State Standards/ELD	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool
Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8 mathematics SBAC results	65% Met or exceeded standard	63.41% 3rd-4th Met or exceeded Math standard on SBA 80.24% 5th-8th Met or exceeded Math standard on ICA	59% Met or exceeded Math standards on SBA	64.71% Met or exceeded Math standard on SBA	64% Met or exceeded standard
Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8 ELA SBAC results	62% Met or exceeded standard	59.02% 3rd-5th Met or exceeded ELA standard on SBA 76.3% 6th-8th Met or exceeded ELA standards on ICA	65.3% Met or exceeded ELA standard on SBA	62.46% Met or exceeded ELA standard on SBA	70% Met or exceeded standard
Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/CELDT proficiency rates	50% EL proficiency	50% EL proficiency	58.3% of students were making progress	23.1% of students were making progress	50% EL proficiency

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Priority 7: Local Metric/Programs/services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency
Priority 8: Local Metric/Other student outcomes (Reach Higher Shasta K-3 reading, Reach Higher Shasta K-2 math, iReady, local benchmarks, PSAT8-9, SBAC interims, STAR reading assessment, DIBELS Plus, etc.)	100% All students promoted	100% All students promoted	100% All students promoted	100% All students promoted	100% All students promoted
Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Reclassification rates	25% English Learners reclassified from previous year	45% reclassified	13.1% reclassification	0% reclassified	25% English Learners reclassified each year.

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

2023 State indicators suggest our implementation of robust Expanded Learning Opportunities and Math RTI programs helped us reach our intended final SBA Math outcome. The minor decrease in 2023 ELA SBA scores (2.84%) is negligible, and though we fell short of our overall goal, 70% may have been an overzealous target. In 2022, 58.3% of our EL population moved up a level on the ELPAC, and in 2023 61.5% held their positions while 23.1% moved up a level. The small number of EL students (<10%) makes it difficult for interpretation and direct comparison with Statewide Indicators, however internal progress monitoring efforts show improvement for all students across both Math and ELA local benchmarks.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.	
Per GCOE business services, there were no material differences.	
An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.	The effectiveness of our ELO and RTI programs exceeded our expectations, and we subsequently plan to continue and improve on our existing programs. All teachers have participated in extended professional development opportunities through our local PLC specifically related to: ELD Standards strategies and implementation; Math Standards core integration across grade spans; and developing writing across broad genres. Our consistent focus on every student's growth across disciplines will continue to move us toward our schoolwide goals.
A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.	
Due to the fluctuating assessment scores, we will adjust the desired outcome for ELA, Math, and CAST scores. Also, RtI and ELO-P will target low income and EL students who are underperforming.	
A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.	

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
2	Provide appropriately assigned and fully credentialed teachers with standards-aligned instructional materials in school facilities that are in good repair in order to fully implement academic content and performance standards in a broad course of study.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Priority 1: Local Indicator/Teacher credential	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.
Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials.
Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Facilities in good repair	100% Good Rating	100% Good Rating	100% Good Rating	95.09% Good Rating	100% Good Rating
Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementati on of State Standards/Local Evaluation Tool	50% Chromebooks replaced	0% replaced	0% replaced	25% replaced	75% replaced
Priority 7: Local Metric/A broad course of study	100% K-8 offered art and music	90% K-8 offered art and music	90% K-8 offered art and music	90% K-8 offered art and music	100% K-8 offered art and music

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

During our facilities inspection, mold was found in a non-student-use pumphouse; exterior siding of same pumphouse showed area of dry rot damage. Our desired outcome of 75% replacement of Chromebooks was too high; existing Chromebooks were found to be in good working order and fully licensed through 2024.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Per GCOE business services, there were no material differences.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

Through a focus on recruiting and retaining quality staff members, Plaza continues to have highly qualified instructional staff. Additionally, the district maintained facilities and ensured all staff and students have access to technology that is in good working order.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

75% of outdated or ineffective technology will be replaced over the course of the next three-year plan.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description
3	Provide a safe and welcoming climate for all stakeholders that includes parent input in decision making and promotes parent participation in student learning in order to improve school attendance rates, and maintain low suspension and expulsion rates.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Priority 3: Local Indicator/Parent Involvement/Local Evaluation Tool	Beginning Development	Beginning Development	Initial Implementation	Initial Implementation	Full Implementation
Priority 5: Local Metric/Student Engagement/School attendance rates	97.08% attendance rate	97.17%	96.34%	95.54%	97.5% attendance rate
Priority 5: Local Metric/Middle school dropout rate	0% dropout rate	0% dropout rate	0% dropout rate	0% dropout rate	0% dropout rate
Priority 5: State Indicator/Student Engagement/Chronic absenteeism rates	1.9%	0%	4.5%	5.4%	<2%
Priority 6: Local Indicator/Local tool for school climate	54.5% Spanish return rate 36.8% English return rate 38.5% Total return rate	27% Spanish return rate 36% English return rate 35.5% Total return rate	100% student surveys returned for 5th-8th grade students	19% Spanish return rate 71% English return rate 40% Total return rate	100% Spanish return rate 100% English return rate 100% in total return rate

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Priority 6: State Indicator/Student Suspension Indicator	0% suspension rate	0% suspension rate	.5%	0%	0% suspension rate
Priority 6: Local Metric/Expulsion rate	0% expulsion rate	0% expulsion rate	0% expulsion rate	0%	0% expulsion rate
Priority 3: Local Indicator/Parent Involvement/Local Evaluation Tool	Beginning Development: unduplicated parent participation:	Beginning Development: unduplicated parent participation:	Beginning Development: unduplicated parent participation:	Beginning Development: unduplicated parent participation:	Full Implementation
Priority 3: Local Indicator/Parent Involvement/Local Evaluation Tool	Beginning Development: students with exceptional needs parent participation	Beginning Development: students with exceptional needs parent participation	Beginning Development: students with exceptional needs parent participation	Beginning Development: students with exceptional needs parent participation	Full Implementation

Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

Though there was a slight (<1%) increase in Chronic Absenteeism rates in 2024, we remain well below State averages. Since the COVID pandemic, our community as a whole has become more inclined to keep children home when showing any signs of illness. 2023-24 Parent survey returns remain low, possibly in part due to too many surveys. Of the 40% returned, the data clearly shows continued satisfaction with our school on the part of the parents.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Per GCOE business services, there were no material differences.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The Plaza Promise initiative focused on a welcoming climate for all students, staff, and families, which helped reduce discipline and behavioral issues for students and increase school connectedness for all stakeholders. Additionally, the number of chronic absenteeism and habitually tardy students continues to rise each year. A better effort will be made to improve both.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

After reviewing student, staff, and family survey data, as well as discussions with all stakeholders, Goal 3 will include additional actions to improve and increase school connectedness for families and students.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

Goals and Actions

Goal(s)

Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the “Measuring and Reporting Results” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education
November 2023

Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Plaza Elementary School District	Patrick Conklin Superintendent/Principal	pconklin@plazaschool.org 530-865-1250

Plan Summary [2024-25]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Plaza Elementary is a small single school district located in Glenn County several miles from Orland, California. It is the oldest school in Glenn County established in 1865. It was originally named Placer School. However, soon after it was established a large group of settlers from Missouri came to the area and began to pronounce the name of the school in their accent and it has been pronounced Plaza ever since! The district is in a rural area of the county surrounded by farms and orchards. Plaza serves approximately 200 students in grades kindergarten through eighth grade, all of which are single grades as of the 2015-16 school year. In 2024-25, Plaza will offer a transitional kindergarten class.

Plaza School District is staffed by nine full time, one .8FTE credentialed elementary teacher, and a full time superintendent/principal. Glenn County Office of Education (GCOE) provides direct support to the district in special education, speech, psychologist and health services. GCOE also maintains a severe services program in a separate facility on campus. In addition to the credentialed staff there are five full time (eight hour) paraprofessionals, an office manager, school clerk/librarian, cafeteria manager, cafeteria aide, one part time after school aide, one full time bus driver/custodian, and one full time custodian. Plaza has a part time art instructor and a part time music teacher.

Plaza students, parents and staff will strive for high quality student work within a safe, enriched environment, utilizing a wide variety of resources and strategies. To fulfill this vision, students and staff at Plaza Elementary will engage in and be committed to the learning process. Students will be afforded with the social, educational, and technical support necessary to enhance their academic experience. Students will have access to multiple resources to locate, evaluate, process, and then effectively communicate information. The Plaza staff is dedicated to the student's school life and will model for each and every one of them the way we work, teach and learn. In 2022-23, the Plaza Promise initiative was developed. The promise states that, "The Plaza School community is committed to promoting a lifelong love of learning in a safe, supportive setting. Students will graduate as respectful, responsible, resilient citizens who demonstrate character, leadership, grace, and compassion.

Plaza Elementary is determined that the united efforts of students, parents, staff and community will develop students who:
Value themselves and others

Pursue high academic achievement
Come to school ready to learn
Speak and write effectively
Compute and problem solve both individually and in groups
Value personal health and fitness
Use information and technology resources

Plaza Elementary is dedicated to the ongoing utilization of all resources at our disposal in order to develop and successfully maintain the best possible learning environment for our children. Plaza Elementary is committed to the pursuit of strong, informed, insightful leadership in all areas as the foundation for a safe, effective school setting for students to acquire an understanding of their place in a real world context for learning; connections to a global learning environment and the ability to apply what they learn to benefit themselves and those living around them. Plaza Elementary is dedicated to the core principal that all students, given the appropriate guidance by teachers and parents will maximize their learning potential.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Plaza Elementary School students continue to score higher than most other schools in our area on annual standardized tests, and the Dashboard shows that when compared to the state student population as a whole, they far outrank the state across most measures. We implement a rigorous curriculum facilitated by highly qualified teachers and support staff. In addition to our standards-based content curriculum, students who need extra support are served through our MTSS (Plaza Promise) components which include 3 levels of academic and social-emotional RTI: Tier 1 supports administered within the classroom as identified by the teacher; Tier 2 supports, identified by a team of professionals, include small group pull-out or classroom push-in academic and/or social support, and: Tier 3 supports to include 1-1 tutoring/mentoring and testing to identify possible learning disabilities as warranted. Though our students' state academic and attendance scores remained strong, we recognize that our socioeconomically disadvantaged students have more than twice the percentage rate (10.4) of absenteeism when compared to our white students (4.6). Local parent, teacher, and student surveys indicated students' social-emotional supports as an area for focused improvement (. Consequently, teachers and staff received training in utilizing Tier 1 SEL supports from our county SEL Coordinator, and have, among other strategies, implemented "calming corners" within the classrooms. The 2024 CalHOPE survey (Kelvin Report) of our 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students shows a need to increase connectedness opportunities targeting this student population. Through meetings with all stakeholders, we will identify ways to give students more ways to interact and lead through intramural sports and campus clubs.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Teachers	Teachers were consulted throughout the year in monthly staff meetings on the second Tuesday of each month, as well as professional development minimum days on the last Wednesday of each month. A California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) for school staff was administered. Data collected from meetings and survey results led to modifying Goal 3.
Principals	Plaza School's superintendent/principal is responsible for LCAP development.
Administrators	Plaza School board trustees were consulted throughout the year in monthly regular school board meetings. No input was given by any board member.
Other School Personnel	Classified staff members were consulted throughout the year, including in the CHKS staff survey. Instructional aides offered input in bi-monthly meetings and through surveys.
Certificated Bargaining Unit	See above Process for Engagement for Teachers
Classified Bargaining Unit	Not applicable
Parents	Parents were consulted through a Community Engagement night where the LCAP was presented and discussed. Multiple surveys were administered throughout the year to collect data, including a CHKS parent survey and CalHope Survey. School Site Council discussed the LCAP in all three of it's meetings.
Students	The California Healthy Kids Survey and CalHope Survey collected information from students. Site administrator met with 6th-8th grade

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	classes to discuss survey results and solicit ideas based on those results. Plaza's student leadership team met with the administrator to discuss ways to improve the school experience for students.
SELPA	Monthly SELPA meetings.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

All school community members, including students, parents, and staff were given opportunities to provide verbal or written feedback.

The Plaza School Board did not have any comments during any of the regular board meetings or public hearing about the LCAP goals or actions, but many of the LCAP actions are in response to board discussions over the years.

Students requested more outdoor activities and equipment on the survey. Survey results indicate upper grade students what more opportunities for school connectedness. Student discussions expressed a desire for more clubs, activities, and sports opportunities.

Parent recommendations and SSC meetings mostly revolved focusing on facilities and grounds to make the campus look more appealing. They also expressed a desire for strategies to challenge high achieving students, a universal prekindergarten classroom, and additional enrichment activities for the ELO and ASES programs. The CHKS indicated some parents would like more opportunities for input in decision making.

The SELPA director reported that, "The school provides excellent services to students, I would not recommend any changes." She also stated, "Plaza School is very committed to meeting the needs of all students and providing Tier I, Tier II, and Tier III supports. The special education services are outstanding. Plaza School is welcoming to students with special needs."

Teachers and paraprofessionals, including their bargaining unit, expressed interest in social emotional learning curriculum in order to provide more supports for students.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	All students in grades K-8 will increase academic achievement in ELA, English language proficiency, and Mathematics as demonstrated through local and state assessments and course grades.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

State and local assessments and student course grades show that only 59% of 3rd-8th grades met or exceeded state standards in Mathematics and 65.3% met or exceeded state standards in ELA. Based on analysis of Dashboard data, English learners and low income students are slightly behind English only students in ELA and Mathematics. Students will increase academic performance in ELA, English language proficiency, and Mathematics through actions that support and improve student learning. The District will measure progress using the metrics such as California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress, English Language Proficiency Assessments for California, Reclassification Fluent English Proficiency, and local measurements.
--

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementation of State Standards/ELD Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementation of State Standards/ELD	4: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool			5: Dashboard Priority 2 Self Reflection Tool	
1.2	Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8	60.67% Met or exceeded standard			63% Met or exceeded standard	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	mathematics SBAC results Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8 mathematics SBAC results					
1.3	Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8 ELA SBAC results Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Grades 3-8 ELA SBAC results	65% Met or exceeded standard			68% Met or exceeded standard	
1.4	Priority 4 C. Percentage of English Learners making progress toward English proficiency Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/CELDT proficiency rates	21.4% EL proficiency			40% EL proficiency	
1.5	Priority 7: Local Metric/Programs/services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils Priority 7: Local Metric/Programs/services developed and provided to unduplicated pupils	100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency			100% Unduplicated increasing proficiency	
1.6	Priority 8: Local Metric/Other student outcomes (Reach Higher	100% All students promoted			100% All students promoted	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	Shasta K-3 reading, Reach Higher Shasta K-2 math, iReady, local benchmarks, PSAT 8-9, SBAC interims, STAR reading assessment, DIBELS Plus, etc.) Priority 8: Local Metric/Other student outcomes (Reach Higher Shasta K-3 reading, Reach Higher Shasta K-2 math, iReady, local benchmarks, PSAT8-9, SBAC interims, STAR reading assessment, DIBELS Plus, etc.)					
1.7	Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Reclassification rates Priority 4: State Indicator/Academic Indicator/Reclassification rates	0% English Learners reclassified from previous year			33% English Learners reclassified	
1.8	Priority 4 A. statewide assessments CAST	31% Met or Exceeded standard			40% Met or Exceeded standard	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	English Language Development	Educate all stakeholders on English Language Development content and academic standards and how to best implement them into daily lessons for all students. An additional instructional aide will provide ELD support to English learners.	\$83,985.00	Yes
1.2	Expanded Learning Opportunities	Monitor and ensure the after school program focuses on improving academic performance for English Learners and low income students through intervention, tutoring, and homework assistance. A rigorous summer program will be offered to identified students, including English learners and low income students who are not meeting academic proficiency in English Language Development and Mathematics.	\$297,382.00	Yes
1.3	Response to Intervention	The district will contract 1.0 FTE for an Education Specialist with COE, and employ a .8 FTE intervention teacher, a 1.0 FTE and .6 FTE instructional aide to work with students with specific learning disabilities and low income students who are not meeting academic standards. These positions will analyze student data in order to address standard specific gaps in learning. The district will monitor the effectiveness of a school wide intervention model to target students who are not achieving proficiency in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and English Language Development.	\$69,271.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.4	Class Size Reduction	For many years Plaza School had combination classes due to few students enrolled. To reduce combination classes from 30+ students to no more than 24 per class, additional teachers were hired and retained. With a long waitlist of students who want to attend, we are able to keep classes small with additional teachers.	\$169,461.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Provide appropriately assigned and fully credentialed teachers with standards-aligned instructional materials in school facilities that are in good repair in order to fully implement academic content and performance standards in a broad course of study.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

In order to provide a quality education, students must be taught by fully credentialed teachers using standards-aligned instructional materials in school facilities that are clean and in good working order determined by local measurement and the annual Facility Inspection Tool. Plaza School student population has grown significantly the last seven years, which means additional staff, curriculum, resources and materials, and facilities have been acquired to accommodate the 35% increase in students.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Priority 1: Local Indicator/Teacher credential Priority 1: Local Indicator/Teacher credential	100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.			100% of all instructional staff are highly qualified.	
2.2	Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Instructional materials Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Instructional materials	100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials			100% of all students have access to standards-aligned instructional materials	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.3	Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Facilities in good repair Priority 1: Local Indicator/ Facilities in good repair	Good Rating			Good Rating	
2.4	Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementation of State Standards/Local Evaluation Tool Priority 2: Local Indicator/Implementation of State Standards/Local Evaluation Tool	100% defective technology replaced			100% defective technology replaced	
2.5	Priority 7: Local Metric/A broad course of study Priority 7: Local Metric/A broad course of study	100% K-8 offered art and music			100% K-8 offered art and music	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Professional Development	Offer professional development for all staff members in order to provide a rigorous learning environment in well maintained facilities. This includes monthly minimum days and two full days of teacher only professional development days.	\$19,045.00	No
2.3	Curriculum	Purchase standards based instructional materials including state adopted science, math, and history curriculum for every student. Supplemental materials, including but not limited to Reflex Math and Mystery Science, will be utilized to support English Learners, low income, and low performing students.	\$53,168.00	
2.4	Technology	Ensure there is enough working technology for all students and staff in order to incorporate internet based academic programs. Also, replace outdated or inefficient laptops, projectors, and document cameras	\$10,000.00	No
2.5	Maintenance and Facilities	Create a maintenance plan to monitor and improve conditions of learning to ensure school facilities are clean, safe, and functional as determined by Facility Inspection Tool or other local measurements, including Williams Complaints. Also, hire a full time custodian to train alongside the current custodian in order to prepare for upcoming retirement.	\$150,708.00	No
2.6	Broad Course of Study	Offer classroom music for K-6th grades, band and chorus for 4th-8th grades, and classroom art for K-7th grades. Also, ensure standards based physical education is taught in all grades.	\$29,284.00	No

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	All students and staff will develop a range of skills to interact effectively with each other, parents, and peers in order to create a welcoming school environment that leads to increased levels of positive school connectedness, meaningful participation, improved school attendance rates, and maintain low suspension and expulsion rates as evidenced through state and local surveys of each stakeholder group.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Historically Plaza has had a high rate of parent involvement in classroom support and campus wide volunteerism. However, very few, and sometimes no, parents or community members participate in important stakeholder engagement opportunities, such as School Site Council or LCAP community meetings. Since this is especially true for Spanish speakers, information sent home is translated. Although annual survey results oftentimes show nearly all community members feel safe and welcomed at Plaza, the return rate has decreased the last two years. While attendance, suspensions, and expulsion rates remain low, a continued climate that fosters a positive academic and social emotional experience for students will lead to increased learning for all students that will lead to 100% promotion for all students.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	Priority 3: Local Indicator/Parent Involvement/Local Evaluation Tool Priority 3: Local Indicator/Parent Involvement/Local Evaluation Tool	Beginning Development			Full implementation	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.2	Priority 5: Local Metric/Student Engagement/School attendance rates Priority 5: Local Metric/Student Engagement/School attendance rates	95.43% attendance rate			96.5% attendance rate	
3.3	Priority 5: Local Metric/Middle school dropout rate Priority 5: Local Metric/Middle school dropout rate	0% dropout rate			0% dropout rate	
3.4	Priority 5: State Indicator/Student Engagement/Chronic absenteeism rates Priority 5: State Indicator/Student Engagement/Chronic absenteeism rates	5.9% Chronic Absenteeism			5% Chronic Absenteeism	
3.5	Priority 6: Local Indicator/Local tool for school climate Priority 6: Local Indicator/Local tool for school climate	62% 7th-8th students reported opportunities for student voice and leadership (CalHope)			75% 7th-8th students reported opportunities for student voice and leadership (CalHope)	
3.6	Priority 6: State Indicator/Student Suspension Indicator Priority 6: State Indicator/Student Suspension Indicator	0% suspension rate			0% suspension rate	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.7	Priority 6: Local Metric/Expulsion rate Priority 6: Local Metric/Expulsion rate	0% expulsion rate			0% expulsion rate	
3.8	Priority 6 C. other local measures, including surveys of pupils, parents, and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness Priority 6: student school connectedness	65% 7th-8th students reported safety and connections (CalHope)			75% 7th-8th students reported safety and connections (CalHope)	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	School Clinician	Provide access to a School Clinician to offer social emotional support for students who require school based counseling in order to develop strategies and skills needed to remain resilient.	\$15,622.00	No
3.2	Community Partnerships/Engagement	Collaborate and work with local agencies and organizations to provide social emotional curriculum to classrooms, educate staff in social emotional learning strategies, and create more opportunities for relationships and student/family supports.	\$3,000.00	No
3.3	Parent Engagement	Create opportunities to connect with parents as partners in their children's education, including participating in school decision making processes. Information will be translated into Spanish to help increase involvement and participation.	\$2,000.00	No
3.4	Surveys	Continue to survey parents, students, and staff to determine the overall school climate to ensure it is a safe and welcoming environment that fosters a positive school experience for all stakeholders. Information will be translated into Spanish to help increase involvement and participation.	\$500.00	No
3.5	Absenteeism	Educate parents and students on the effects absenteeism has on student achievement, and create ways to motivate students to reduce absenteeism.	\$500.00	No
3.6	Extracurricular Activities	Sports, clubs, and student leadership opportunities.	\$11,621.00	No

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$208,591.00	\$0

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
9.630%	0.000%	\$0.00	9.630%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.2	Action: Expanded Learning Opportunities Need: Opportunities for unduplicated students to reinforce academic concepts and growth Scope: LEA-wide	30 non-instructional days including academic instruction will help support and reinforce student learning.	Local Assessments

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.3	Action: Response to Intervention Need: Small group and individual targeted instruction Scope: Schoolwide	Unduplicated students not meeting standards or proficiency receive targeted evidence based Tier II and Tier III instruction.	Number sense screeners
1.4	Action: Class Size Reduction Need: Less than 24 students per class Scope: LEA-wide	Unduplicated students benefit from more focused and targeted instruction when classes are less than 24 students per class.	ELPAC or ELA/Math CAASPP

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	Action: English Language Development Need: Increase English Language proficiency for English Learners	Promoting ELD standards through professional development, curriculum development, and lesson planning will help increase academic performance.	ELPAC

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Once again Plaza is below 55% unduplicated, therefore funds will continue to be used to improve and increase services for learners by 9.85%. Learning center staff, including an Education Specialist, intervention teacher, and instructional aides, will target identified students with small group and individual instruction. Additionally, students identified receive push in and pull out instruction with our Learning Center staff. Curriculum and supplemental materials will be purchased for all students, which include unduplicated students. Reflex math programs will be used again in supplement math instruction. Mystery Science will be purchased to supplement Science until curriculum is adopted. We will continue the K-5th grade intervention program that was implemented to target specific learners, specifically low income and English learners. The intervention teacher and an instructional aide provide small group and individual support to K-1 unduplicated students to provide reading fluency support.

The Plaza School after school program focuses primarily on intervention for low income and English Learners, but is also available to any low performing student. This program targets English Language and Math skills for members of these subgroups who have not met CCSS proficiency. Additionally, a 5.5 hour aide and .8 intervention teacher will work with our full time Education Specialist to improve learning for our low income and English Learners. These staff members target low income pupils and English Learners through push in and pull out methods. Professional Development will focus on using local and state data to target specific standards and concepts that specific low income and English learners lack proficiency in. The purpose is to target specific essential standards that English Learners and low income learners are not meeting and then incorporate those standards into small group and/or individual instruction.

Plaza School's extended learning and summer school program will be offered to targeted students. The primary focus of the program will be to get students ready for the next school year by focusing on reading, writing, and mathematics. The goal is for students to be ready to learn on the first day of the regular school year since they will have attended the summer program for anywhere from one to six weeks before school starts. An additional staff member for our after school program will be retained to support homework hour and tutoring, and two certificated teachers will tutor students. Classroom music and art classes are offered weekly to students in order to offer an enriched school experience. Supplemental dollars were used for classified instructional aides outside of Title money, as well as salaries for translating materials into Spanish.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

N/A		
Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	1:13,8	
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	1:17.6	

2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	2166136	\$208,591.00	9.630%	0.000%	9.630%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$443,876.00	\$368,816.00		\$102,855.00	\$915,547.00	\$725,496.00	\$190,051.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Non-personnel	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
1	1.1	English Language Development		Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Groups		All Schools	Ongoing	\$83,438.00	\$547.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$81,880.00	\$2,105.00	\$83,985.00		
1	1.2	Expanded Learning Opportunities	English Foster Low	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$287,713.00	\$0.00	\$213,561.00	\$0.00	\$83,821.00	\$297,382.00			
1	1.3	Response to Intervention	English Foster Low	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$69,271.00	\$0.00	\$69,271.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$69,271.00			
1	1.4	Class Size Reduction	English Foster Low	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$169,461.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$169,461.00	\$0.00	\$169,461.00		
2	2.1	Professional Development	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$19,045.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,045.00	\$19,045.00			
2	2.3	Curriculum	English Foster Low			English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$0.00	\$53,168.00	\$14,457.00	\$0.00	\$12,884.00	\$53,168.00			
2	2.4	Technology	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00			
2	2.5	Maintenance and Facilities	All	No				Completed, now two full-time custodians	\$107,108.00	\$43,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$150,708.00			
2	2.6	Broad Course of Study	All	No				Ongoing	\$17,134.00	\$12,150.00	\$29,284.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$29,284.00			

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
3	3.1	School Clinician	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$15,622.00	\$0.00	\$15,622.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,622.00
3	3.2	Community Partnerships/Engagement	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,000.00
3	3.3	Parent Engagement	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00
3	3.4	Surveys	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
3	3.5	Absenteeism	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$500.00
3	3.6	Extracurricular Activities	All	No				Ongoing	\$11,371.00	\$250.00	\$0.00	\$11,621.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,621.00

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
2166136	\$208,591.00	9.630%	0.000%	9.630%	\$251,341.00	0.000%	11.603 %	Total:	\$251,341.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$169,461.00
								Limited Total:	\$81,880.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$0.00
Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	
1	1.1	English Language Development	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)		All Schools	\$81,880.00		
1	1.2	Expanded Learning Opportunities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00		
1	1.3	Response to Intervention	Yes	Schoolwide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00		
1	1.4	Class Size Reduction	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$169,461.00		
2	2.3	Curriculum			English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$25,827.00		

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$715,952.00	\$780,323.96

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
This table was automatically populated from the 2023 LCAP. Existing content should not be changed, but additional actions/funding can be added.					
1	1.1	English Language Development	Yes	\$71,640.00	\$63,252.23
1	1.2	Expanded Learning Opportunities	Yes	\$201,468.00	\$225,793.72
1	1.3	Response to Intervention	Yes	\$66,889.00	\$66,240.11
1	1.4	Class Size Reduction	Yes	\$195,562.00	\$221,625.11
2	2.1	Professional Development	No	\$25,000.00	\$23,448.08
2	2.2	Response to Intervention	Yes	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	2.3	Instructional Materials	Yes	\$15,000.00	\$36,561.16
2	2.4	Technology	No	\$10,000.00	\$27,431.66
2	2.5	Maintenance and Facilities	No	\$74,182.00	\$74,223.14
2	2.6	Broad Course of Study	No	\$35,211.00	\$15,081.10

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
3	3.1	School Clinician	No	\$15,000.00	\$26,667.65
3	3.2	Community Partnerships	Yes	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
3	3.3	Parent Engagement	No	\$2,000.00	\$0.00
3	3.4	Surveys	No	\$500.00	\$0.00
3	3.5	Absenteeism	No	\$500.00	\$0.00

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)	
\$201,593.00	\$270,202.00	\$274,143.27	(\$3,941.27)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	
Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	English Language Development	Yes	\$71,640.00	\$52,518.16		
1	1.2	Expanded Learning Opportunities	Yes	\$0.00	\$0.00		
1	1.3	Response to Intervention	Yes	\$0.00	\$0.00		
1	1.4	Class Size Reduction	Yes	\$195,562.00	\$221,625.11		
2	2.2	Response to Intervention	Yes	\$0.00	\$0.00		
2	2.3	Instructional Materials	Yes	\$0.00	\$0.00		
3	3.2	Community Partnerships	Yes	\$3,000.00	\$0.00		

This section is included to assist with development of Annual Update Action Tables but is not required, and should not be printed, as part of the LCAP Annual Update.

This table was automatically populated from the 2022 LCAP. Existing content should not be changed, but additional actions/funding can be added.

This section is included to assist with development of Annual Update Action Tables but is not required, and should not be printed, as part of the LCAP Annual Update.

This table was automatically populated from the 2022 LCAP. Existing content should not be changed, but additional actions/funding can be added.

To Add a Row: Click "Add Row."

To Delete a Row: Remove all content from each cell, checkbox and dropdown of a row (including spaces), press "Save Data" and refresh the page.

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
\$2,033,418.00	\$201,593.00	0.00%	9.914%	\$274,143.27	0.000%	13.482%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

2024-25 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Plaza Elementary School District

School districts and COEs: *EC sections 52060(g) (California Legislative Information) and 52066(g) (California Legislative Information)* specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC Section 47606.5(d) (California Legislative Information)* requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information);
 - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC Section 52062(a)*.

- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).

- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows: Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The LCFF State Priorities Summary provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

**Focus Goal(s)
Description**

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a holistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC Section 42238.024(b)(1) (California Legislative Information)* requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal
Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “Measuring and Reporting Results” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
- In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
- When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
- Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
- As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in EC Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. *This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.*
- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to EC Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
 - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).

- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.

- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
 - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**
 - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023

PLAZA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT 2024-25 BUDGET

BACKGROUND

- State revenues projected using latest version of LCFF Calculator
- Reviewed by the Governing Board, County Superintendent, Superintendent of Public Instruction, and State Controller
- Purpose is to ensure awareness regarding current and future financial obligations

BUDGET COMPARISONS

2024-25 BUDGET

2023-24 REVISED BUDGET

2024-25 BUDGET

	2023-24 REVISED BUDGET	2024-25 BUDGET
Beginning Fund Balance	3,513,746.00	3,523,526.00
LCFF Revenue	2,258,804.00	2,403,824.00
Federal Revenue	85,980.00	89,811.00
State Revenue	306,572.00	401,421.00
Local Revenue	253,031.00	85,000.00
Total Revenues	2,904,387.00	2,980,056.00
Total Expenditures	(2,889,051.00)	(3,147,531.00)
Transfer to Fund 40 (SpEd Building)	(5,556.00)	(5,556.00)
+/- Rev./Exp.	9,780.00	(173,031.00)
Ending Fund Balance	3,523,526.00	3,350,495.00

2024-25 BUDGET MULTIYEAR PROJECTIONS

Summary	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27
Beginning Fund Balance	3,523,526.00	3,350,495.00	3,153,348.00
LCFF Revenue	2,403,824.00	2,488,417.00	2,577,409.00
Federal Revenue	89,811.00	89,811.00	89,811.00
State Revenue	401,421.00	367,621.00	367,621.00
Local Revenue	85,000.00	85,000.00	85,000.00
Total Revenues	2,980,056.00	3,030,849.00	3,119,841.00
Total Expenditures	(3,147,531.00)	(3,222,440.00)	(3,335,270.00)
Transfer to Fund 40 (Specd Building)	(5,556.00)	(5,556.00)	(5,556.00)
+/- Rev./Exp.	(173,031.00)	(197,147.00)	(220,985.00)
Ending Fund Balance	3,350,495.00	3,153,348.00	2,932,363.00

2024-25 BUDGET

OTHER ENDING FUND BALANCES

Fund 13	Cafeteria Fund	408,572.00
Fund 20	Postemployment Benefits Fund	344,124.00
Fund 25	Capital Facilities Fund (Developer Fees)	69,236.00
Fund 35	County School Facilities Fund (New Construction/Modern.)	2,525.00
Fund 40	Capital Outlay Projects Fund (Special Education Building)	1,658.00
Fund 51	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	10,589.00
Fund 73	Foundation Private-Purpose Trust Fund (Mr. K. Scholarship)	2,841.00